Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,741	TATENO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Inder P. Mehra	2617	
All Participants:	Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.	(3)		
(2) Dexter Chang, Attorney, Regd. No. 44,071.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 July 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Discussed ambiguities in the limitations of claims 1 and 2			
Claims discussed: claims 1 and 2			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed ambiguities in the limitations of claims 1 and 2 with reference to fig. 1. The details are set forth in the office action No agreement was reached			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
	JOHN PRIMAR	N PEZZLO Y EXAMINER	
Inder Pal Mehra 7/23/06			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)	